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## Marine Scalefish Fishery (MSF)

- Multi-species, multi-gear, multi-area fishery
- Spans entire coastline of South Australia
- Stock assessments performed for three primary species:
- King George Whiting (Sillaginodes punctatus)
- Southern Garfish (Hyporhamphus melanchoir)
- Snapper (Chrysophrys auratus)
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## King George Whiting (KGW) in South Australia

- Highest value fish by weight in South Australia
- Taken by several gear types:

1. Hand line
2. Haul net
3. Gill net

- Three spatial regions:

1. West Coast (WC)
2. Spencer Gulf (SG)
3. Gulf St Vincent (GSV)

- Managed by:
- Legal minimum size (region specific)
- Limited entry
- Gear restrictions
- Seasonal closures on spawning grounds

- Complex life history - ontogenetic migration


## Stock Assessment Model

## Model fits to:

- catch totals (kg)
- catch proportions-at-age-and-sex
- recreational survey data.
- Tag-recapture movement rates


## Estimates key performance indicators for

 stock status :- Annual harvestable biomass

Recruitment is a free parameter - no stock recruitment relationship

- Annual harvest fraction
- Yearly recruitment.



## Model structure

## - Monthly time steps

- Effort conditioned
- Population numbers broken into:
- month
- region
- sex
- cohort
- length bin ('slice') within each cohort

Main reference: McGarvey R, Feenstra JE, Ye Q. 2007. Modeling fish numbers dynamically by age and length: partitioning cohorts into 'slices'. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 64: 11571173


## Slice Partition Approach: How does it work?

- Within each cohort length is normally distributed
- At each time step, we compute the proportion of the cohort that grown above legal size
- These slice proportions are all we need to implement a length- and age-based model

- Better account for individuals lost through mortality and either lost or gained via movement
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## Slice Partition Approach: Advantages

- Differentiates between legal and sublegal fish in the model
- Models partial recruitment to the fishery as cohorts grow above LML
- Incorporates growth into model-predicted catch proportions-at-age
- Applied in South Australia to the 3 major fish stocks
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## Slice Partition Approach

- Applied to KGW as monthly time steps
- KGW have seasonally varying growth
- Incorporates this variability into the length-at-age pdf giving more precise slices
- Provides narrower slices in slow-growing months when fewer fish recruit above LML
- Fishing mortality is then applied to each slice in each time step
- The older the slice, the greater its exposure to fishing and therefore fewer individuals remain

Age 37 months


## Movement Submodel



Three regions included in Stock Assessment West Coast (WC)
Gulf St Vincent (GSV)
Spencer Gulf (SG)
KGW undergo age-dependent migration from nursery areas to spawning grounds:

- GSV and SG KGW move south at $2-4$ years
- WC - KGW move offshore at 4 years to the "mystery cell"
- All movement occurs in summer (November January)

S A R D I

## Spatial Distribution of Catches

Average monthly catch in each spatial cell 1984-2016

Catches vary both spatially and temporally

- Highest in winter (May - July)
- Highest in northern gulfs
- Failure to model movement will lead to under or overestimation of F in different areas


| Mean monthly <br> catch (t) |
| :---: |
| 25 |
| 20 |
| 15 |
| 10 |
| 5 |

## Movement Submodel

Tag and recapture data

- Movement is estimated and included as a likelihood component

| Age Tagged (months) | Age Recaptured | Area Tagged | Area Recaptured |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | 31 | 2 | 2 |
| 36 | 49 | 2 | 3 |
| 24 | 33 | 4 | 5 |

- Submodel is recapture conditioned
- Mortality in original cell (until time of movement), reporting rate, tag shedding rate all cancel out
- Key assumption is that reporting rate, tag mortality and tag loss are approximately uniform across areas
- Provides estimates of predicted movement proportions to each area
- Refines estimates of $F$ and $Z$ in the migration cells
$N=$ number of individuals, $t=$ month of tagging, $r=$ month of recapture, $a=$ age, $m=$ month of movement, $P=$ probability of movement, $S=$ survivorship, $F=$ fishing mortality, $Z=$ total mortality, $m_{\text {tag }}=$ tagging mortality, $f_{\text {report }}=$ tag report rate

Predicted n . recaptures

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left.\widehat{N}_{i, j, a_{t}, a_{r}}^{r}=\frac{N t}{N V_{i, a_{t}}}\left(1-m_{t a g}\right) S_{i\left[\tilde{u}_{t}\right.}, a_{m}\right] P_{a_{m}, i j} S_{j}\left[a_{m}, a_{r}\right] \\
\times\left(1-e^{\left.-\frac{Z}{12}\right)\left(\frac{F_{j}}{Z_{j}}\right) f_{\text {roport }}}\right.
\end{array}
$$

Predicted prop recaptures

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}\left(j \mid i, a_{t}, a_{r}\right)=\frac{\widehat{N}_{i, j, a_{t}, a_{r}}^{r}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{c}} \widehat{N}_{i, k, a_{t}, a_{r}}^{r}} \\
& f\left(j \mid i, a_{r}\right)=\frac{P_{i j} e^{-Z_{j m} \frac{a_{r}-a_{m}}{12}}\left(1-e^{-\frac{z_{j m}}{12}}\right) \frac{F_{j m}}{Z_{j m}}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{c}} P_{i k} e^{-Z_{k m} \frac{a_{r}-a_{m}}{12}}\left(1-e^{-\frac{z_{k m}}{12}}\right) \frac{F_{k m}}{Z_{k m}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Movement Submodel

Tag and recapture data

| Age Tagged (months) | Age Recaptured | Area Tagged | Area Recaptured |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | 31 | 2 | 2 |
| 36 | 49 | 2 | 3 |
| 24 | 33 | 4 | 5 |

- Submodel is recapture conditioned
- Mortality in original cell (until time of movement), reporting rate, tag shedding rate all cancel out
- Key assumption is that reporting rate, tag mortality and tag loss are approximately uniform across areas
- Provides estimates of predicted movement proportions to each area
- Refines estimates of $F$ and $Z$ in the migration cells

[^0]Annual time invariant movement matrix

| $M C$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 0.00 |
| 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 1.00 |

Smoothed monthly movement matrix

| MC | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 0.00 | 0.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.87 | 0.00 |
| 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 1.00 |

## Stock Assessment Outcomes



- Movement rates are smoothed across the 3 summer months for gradual emigration
- At age 4 , all remaining fish in northern Gulfs are moved.
- West Coast movement is not estimated as this only happens at age 4


## Benefits of modelling movement and using slice partitions

Accounting for movement in tandem with slice partitions, refines the mortality estimates.





Account for movement

## Stock Assessment outcomes
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## Stock Assessment outcomes

Increased precision in the population array provides:

- Precise fits to catch in all areas
- Good fits to Age Comp. data
- Note older ages occur in SSG and SGSV compositions.
- This leads to reasonable estimates of Biomass, harvest fraction and recruitment



## Conclusions

- Accounting for movement in this example greatly avoids issues of overestimating and underestimating $F$, leading to improved model outputs.
- The slice partition approach complements the movement submodel as the age of movement is concurrent with ages that are fished the heaviest
- A recapture conditioned movement model provides a simple mechanism to include tag data in stock assessments and avoids issues regarding estimation of tag reporting (if assumptions are valid)
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[^0]:    $N=$ number of individuals, $t=$ month of tagging, $r=$ month of recapture, $a=$ age, $m=$ month of movement, $P=$ probability of movement, $S=$ survivorship, $F=$ fishing mortality, $Z=$ total mortality, $m_{\text {tag }}=$ tagging mortality, $f_{\text {report }}=$ tag report rate

