CAPAM

Workshop on Spatial Stock Assessment Models

1-5 October 2018. La Jolla, California, USA.

Session 1: Defining spatial structure

Distribution areas of Jack Mackerel (*Trachurus murphyi*) in the South Pacific

Ricardo Oliveros-Ramos

Enrique Ramos-Vasquez, Arnaud Bertrand, Jorge Csirke

INSTITUTO DEL MAR DEL PERU CIENCIA Y TECNOLOGIA

IRD Institut de Recherche pour le Développement

SPRFMO South Pacific Regional Fisheries Management Organisation

Outline

- Introduction: Jack mackarel population structure.
- Introduction: Ecological niche and environmental tolerance.
- Methods
  - Spatial distribution models
  - Cluster analysis
- Results
- Conclusions and perspectives

Introduction



SPRFMO
South Pacific Regional Fisheries Management Organisation

Introduction

- Hypothesis on Jack mackerel population structure
  - Hypothesis 1: **Jack mackerel caught off the coasts of Perú and Chile each constitute separate stocks which straddle the high seas.**
  - Hypothesis 2: **Jack mackerel caught off the coasts of Perú and Chile constitute a single shared stock which straddles the high seas.**
  - Hypothesis 3: **Jack mackerel caught off the Chilean area constitute a single straddling stock extending from the coast out to about 120°W.**
  - Hypothesis 4: **Jack mackerel caught off the Chilean area constitute separate straddling and high seas stocks.**
- Metapopulation hypothesis

- How many “stocks”?
  - Important for assessment and management

Introduction



Fig. 1. Extension and abundance of the Chilean jack mackerel, during periods of low (red area) to high abundance (yellow area). The letters in rectangles show the major patches of density. A: Central Pacific-Centre South Chilean stock; B: Northern Chilean stock; C: Peruvian stock; D1 and D2: Central South and Southwest Pacific Ocean stocks respectively.

F. Gerlotto et al.: Aquat. Living Resour. 25, 341–355 (2012)

Introduction



A. Bertrand et al. / Progress in Oceanography 146 (2016) 199–211

Introduction: Ecological niche



Introduction: Ecological niche

Interactions between environmental factors are possible!

Without interactions



With interactions



Boundaries: identification of ecological niche

Introduction: Ecological niche models

ECOLOGICAL SPACE



Ecological niche model

✘ ~~Latitude, Longitude~~
✔ SST, SSS

GEOGRAPHICAL SPACE



Environmental data



Presence records



Habitat suitability

Objective

- Classify the distribution area of Jack Mackerel according to the temporal variability in the habitat suitability for Jack mackerel (*Trachurus murphyi*).

Methods



- **South Pacific**
- **1/4º resolution**
- **Oct 1997 to Dec 2015**

- **HSI values logit<thr set to zero**

Methods

4) **ESTIMATION OF TREND, SEASONALITY AND ANOMALIES**

- **Trend**
- **Seasonality**
- **Anomalies**

Decomposed the time series from each square of the grid

5) **ANALYSIS FOR EACH SQUARE OF THE GRID**

- **Mean of trend**
- **Coefficient of variation of trend**
- **Standard deviation of seasonality**
- **Length of range of seasonality**
- **Standard deviation of anomalies**

Mean habitat quality
Inter annual stability
Seasonal stability
Strength of the seasonality
Impact of extreme events

Methods

6) **CLASSIFICATION OF EACH SQUARE OF THE GRID**

- **k-mean clustering**

Data classified in n clusters

7) **ECOLOGICAL INTERPRETATION FOR EACH CLUSTER**

Results



Mean habitat quality

Seasonal stability





Strength of seasonality



1/Interannual stability

Impact of extreme events



Results



8 clusters =
2 stable areas + 1 transition zone

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
meanHS	0.33	0.67	0.51	0.91	0.71	0.79	1	0.42
interStab	0.04	0.14	0.12	0.29	0.12	0.15	1	0.07
SeasonStab	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.32	0.13	0.19	1	0.1
SeasonStrength	0.79	0.91	1	0.3	0.69	0.48	0.1	0.85
extremeEvents	1	0.76	0.72	0.48	0.8	0.7	0.19	0.86

Results



8 clusters =
2 stable areas + 1 transition zone

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
meanHS	0.33	0.67	0.51	0.91	0.71	0.79	1	0.42
interStab	0.04	0.14	0.12	0.29	0.12	0.15	1	0.07
SeasonStab	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.32	0.13	0.19	1	0.1
SeasonStrength	0.79	0.91	1	0.3	0.69	0.48	0.1	0.85
extremeEvents	1	0.76	0.72	0.48	0.8	0.7	0.19	0.86

Results



8 clusters =
2 stable areas + 1 transition zone

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
meanHS	0.33	0.67	0.51	0.91	0.71	0.79	1	0.42
interStab	0.04	0.14	0.12	0.29	0.12	0.15	1	0.07
SeasonStab	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.32	0.13	0.19	1	0.1
SeasonStrength	0.79	0.91	1	0.3	0.69	0.48	0.1	0.85
extremeEvents	1	0.76	0.72	0.48	0.8	0.7	0.19	0.86

Results



- **Highest habitat quality**
- **Low interannual variability**

Results



- **Medium habitat quality**
- **Moderate interannual variability**

Results



- **Medium habitat quality**
- **Highest interannual variability**

Results


10°N
0°
10°S
20°S
30°S
40°S
50°S
60°S
LATITUDE
140°E
160°E
180°E
200°E
220°E
240°E
260°E
280°E
LONGITUDE

Conclusions

- The temporal variability in the habitat suitability for Jack mackerel defines at least two distribution areas.
- The transition areas show a high interannual varibility, making posible a continuity in the distribution under some environmental conditions.
- Our results are consistent with the metapopulation hypothesis for Jack mackarel population structure.

Perspectives

- Consider different time windows for the analysis (multiple regimes).
- Include oxygen in the analysis (models, reanalysis).
- Consider different stages (e.g. adults, juveniles) in the habitat modelling.
- Test the impact of using this areas for the assessment.

Acknowledments

- SPRFMO's Scientific Committee.
- IMARPE staff.