## HYBRID - A MODELLING FRAMEWORK TO SIDESTEP STRUCTURAL UNCERTAINTY IN MODELS

## INTRODUCTION: A SMALL NICHE

Dr. Maunder's questionnaire highlights the vast use of varied approaches in fisheries stock assessment modelling.

Within the vastness, HYBRID represents a small niche for data types:

- Survey indices for abundance at age
- Catch-at-age data


## HYBRID is a modelling framework to explore multiple models

- allows the user to explore and compare different model structures.
" what-if we modelled fisheries selectivity differently?
- what-if natural mortality is changing over time?


## HYBRID STRUCTURE

TMB - R package
Built as a generic modelling framework with different options for

- F structure
- M structure
- Fitting catch-at-age
- Fitting surveys: Missing data points

Flexdashboard for model comparison (Dr. Paul Regular)

## THE STATE EQUATION

State equation follows the parameterization in the State-space Assessment Model (SAM) (Nielsen and Berg, 2014)
Recruitment: Only Random walk

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log N_{1, y}=\log N_{1, y-1}+\eta_{1, y} ; \text { where, } \eta_{1, y} \sim N(0, \sigma R) \\
\log N_{a, y}=\log N_{a-1, y-1}-F_{a-1, y-1}-M_{a-1, y-1}+\eta_{a, y} ; \text { where, } 2 \leq a<A ; \eta_{2: A, y} \sim N(0, \sigma P) \\
\log N_{A, y}=\log \binom{N_{A, y-1} * \exp \left(-F_{A, y-1}-M_{A, y-1}\right)+}{N_{A-1, y-1} * \exp \left(-F_{A-1, y-1}-M_{A-1, y-1}\right)}+\eta_{A, y} ; \text { where, } A=\text { plus group }
\end{gathered}
$$

## PARAMETERIZATION OF F

F as parameters and Fit to catch-at-age
5 Options for time-varying fisheries selectivity in the model

- Different levels of flexibility in the connection between ages and years
- Key questions:
- Does the age pattern change over time - was there change in gear composition in the fishery?
- How much dependence in F between years?

Option 1: Non parametric (not time varying)

- For each age (fixed age pattern)
- Random walk over ages (Cadigan 2010)
- Time-blocks can be implemented

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log \left(s_{a}\right)=\log \left(s_{a-1}\right)+\omega_{a} ; \\
\text { where, } \omega_{a} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{s}\right) \\
F_{a, y}=s_{a} * f_{y}
\end{gathered}
$$

## PARAMETERIZATION OF F

## Option 2: Parametric

Little flexibility in pattern over age.

- Logistic (flat-topped)

$$
s_{a}=\frac{1}{1+\exp \left(-b_{1}\left(a-a_{50}\right)\right)}
$$

- Double logistic (domed)

$$
s_{a}=\frac{1}{1+\exp \left(-b 1\left(a-a 1_{50}\right)\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{1+\exp \left(b 2\left(a-a 2_{50}\right)\right)}
$$

- Time blocks implemented (Radomski et al. 2005)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log \left(a_{50 y}\right)=\log \left(a_{50}\right)+\operatorname{sdev}_{y} ; \\
\text { where, sdev } \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{\text {sel2 }}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- Random variation within time blocks

$$
F_{a, y}=s_{a} * f_{y}
$$

## PARAMETERIZATION OF F

Option 3: MVN Random Walk (Nielsen and Berg, 2014)

- Flexibility in age and year patterns
" Multivariate Normal (MVN) random walk over years
" Autoregressive (AR) process for the correlation between ages
- similar age groups develop similar trends in the fishing mortalitv

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log \left(F_{1: A, y}\right)=\log \left(F_{1: A, y-1}\right)+e_{1: A, y} \\
\text { where, } e_{1: A, y} \sim M V N_{1: A}(0, \Sigma) \\
\Sigma_{a, \bar{a}}=\rho^{|a-\bar{a}|} \sigma_{a}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$



## PARAMETERIZATION OF F

Option 4: Similar to option 3

To account for fisheries management changes:

- Restart the MVN random walk at the beginning of the fishing moratorium

F on young ages may not correlate with $F$ on older ages

- De-correlate the standard deviation for the young ages
- Choice for which ages to de-correlate in the covariance matrix



## PARAMETERIZATION OF F

Option 5: Correlated separable AR1 pattern in year and age
$F$ in a given age and year is the product of a mean $F$ and correlated age-year deviations (Cadigan 2016)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log F_{a, y}=\mu \log F_{a, y}+\Delta_{a, y} \\
\operatorname{Corr}\left[\Delta_{a, y}, \Delta_{a-m, y-n}\right]=\varphi_{F a}^{|m|} \varphi_{F y}^{|n|}
\end{gathered}
$$



Stronger connection between years compared to Options 3 and 4
Perhaps ideal for fisheries that target strong cohorts moving through the fishery.

## FITTING CATCH-AT-AGE

## 2 Options based on Reliability of Catch Numbers-at-age data

Option 1: Fairly reliable time series
"Fit to Catch Numbers at age

Option 2: Reliability of time series varies over time (Cadigan 2016)

- Fit to Catch-Proportions at age
- Magnitude of the catch fit using landings
- Censored likelihood for landings to account for different levels of reliability of the catch magnitude over time.


## FITTING CATCH-AT-AGE: OPTION 2 corro.

Proportions at age using continuation ratio logits

$$
X o_{a, y}=X_{a, y}+\epsilon_{a, y} ; \text { where } \epsilon_{1: A-1, y} \sim \operatorname{MVN}(0, \Sigma)
$$

Landings using censored bounds

- Where LB and UB are lower and upper bounds
- Fairly flat likelihood inside bounds depending on $\sigma_{\mathrm{L}}$

$$
l\left(L_{o b s 1, \ldots . .} L_{o b s Y} \mid \theta\right)=\sum_{y=1}^{Y} \log \left\{\Phi_{N}\left[\frac{\log \left(U B_{y} / L_{y}\right)}{\sigma_{L}}\right]-\Phi_{N}\left[\frac{\log \left(L B_{y} / L_{y}\right)}{\sigma_{L}}\right]\right\}
$$

- For more detail, please see Cadigan 2016 and Bousquet et al. 2010 for more details

$$
P_{a, y}=\frac{C_{a, y}}{\sum_{1}^{A} C_{a, y}}
$$

$$
\pi_{a, y}=\operatorname{Prob}(a g e=a \mid a g e \geq a) \frac{P_{a, y}}{\sum_{a}^{A} P_{a, y}}
$$

$$
X_{a, y}=\log \left(\frac{\pi_{a, y}}{1-\pi_{a, y}}\right) ; \text { where } a=1: A-1
$$

## PARAMETERIZATION OF M

Option 1: Invariant over age and year
Time varying options:
Option 2: Size specific (Miller and Hyun 2017)

$$
\log M_{a, y}=b_{0}+b_{1} * \log W_{a, y}
$$

where $b_{1}=-0.305$ (Lorenzen 1996)
Option 3: Mortality follows trend in an index

- Scales above or below a base level M
- Equation structure from Kumar et al. 2013

- Estimates parameter Mscale for effect of index

$$
M_{a, y}=\operatorname{baseM} * \exp \left(\text { Mscale }_{a} * \text { Normalized Index } y_{y}\right)
$$

Option 4: Mortality follows trend in an index - Additive effect (not implemented)

## LIKELIHOOD FOR FITTING TO SURVEY INDICES OF ABUNDANCE

The model fits to $\log$ indices from the survey

$$
\log I_{a, y, s}=\log q_{a, s}+\log N_{a, y}-s f_{y, s} * Z_{a, y}+e_{a, y, s} ; \text { where }_{a, y, s} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{a g, s}\right)
$$

Choice to use censored likelihood for missing values, or ignore the missing values - When censoring is applied, the log-likelihood will be very small if the predicted index is lower than the bound (Cadigan 2016).

$$
l\left(I_{a, y, s}=0 \mid \theta\right)=\log \left\{\Phi_{N}\left[\log \left[0.004 / E\left(I_{a, y, s}\right)\right]\right] / \sigma_{a g, s}\right\}
$$

## SURVEY CATCHABILITY

Estimated parameters feed into a matrix of catchability by age and survey
Between survey series: allows for in model adjustments between surveys

- Such as correction factor for change in vessel/gear over time in surveys
" For example: Catchability of Survey S3 depends is related to catchability of Survey S1

| Parameters for q |  |  | Survey catchability in model |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | qS1 | qS2 | qS3<->qS1 |
|  |  |  | age1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
|  | qS1 | qS2 | age2 | 0.3 | 0.15 | 0.45 |
| age1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | age3 | 0.32 | 0.4 | 0.52 |
| age2 | 0.3 | 0.15 | age4 | 0.35 | 0.5 | 0.55 |
| age3 | 0.32 | 0.4 | age5 | 0.36 | 0.5 | 0.56 |
| age4 | 0.35 | 0.5 | age6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| age5 | 0.36 | 0.5 | age7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| age6 | 0.4 0.4 | 0.5 0.5 | age8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| age |  |  | age9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
|  |  |  | age10 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

## CORRELATED YEAR-EFFECTS

All ages are not necessarily affected equally
Applied in our model as survey year-effects -

- Explore changes in catchability over time
- Value is unclear - when it cannot be propagated forward

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y E_{1, y, s}=N\left(0,\left(\frac{\sigma_{y e_{s}}^{2}}{\left(1-\varphi_{y e_{s}}^{2}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& Y E_{2: A, y, s}=N\left(\varphi_{y e_{s}} * Y E_{a-1, y, s}, \sigma_{y e_{s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | F-Case 1: Non-parametric age effect |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## MODEL COMPARISON IN FLEXDASHBOARD

Compare models based on

1. Residuals for survey-fits by age
2. Residuals for catch-at-age fits
3. Process error comparisons
4. Model outputs (F-at-age, Recruitment, Biomass etc..)

## QUESTIONS/ CLARIFICATIONS/ FEEDBACK?
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